## Case 4:15-cr-00238-JSW Document 23 Filed 05/22/14 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States o	f America,	) Case	No. 4-13-7	1012	MAG	
v.	Plaintiff,	) STIP! ) TIME )	JLATED ORD UNDER THE	ER EXC	LUDING Y TRIAL ACT	D
STANGY	Lawas, Defendant.	)			MAY 2 2 201	4
For the reason s Trial Act from continuance out	stated by the parties on the received by the parties on the received by the parties on the received by the parties of the tweigh the best interest of the The Court makes this finding	e public and the	H3 and finds the defendant in a	nat the end a speedy 1	ds of justice serve trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C	d by the
,	Failure to grant a continual See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)		kely to result ir	n a miscai	rriage of justice.	
	The case is so unusual or s defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonal itself within the time limits	ne prosecution, ble to expect ac	or the existence lequate prepara	e of nove tion for p	l questions of fact pretrial proceeding	s or the trial
-	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
<del></del>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continual necessary for effective prepared 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	oaration, taking				
$\perp$	For the reasons stated on th 3161(b) and waived with th 5.1(c) and (d).					
IT IS SO ORD	ered.		DONNA M. United States		ite Judge	
STIPULATED:	Attorney for Defendant	_	Assistant Uni	ted States	s Attorney	